UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

			ari	D
--	--	--	-----	---

		2008 AUG 15 AM 8: 45			
TO:	$\square_{\mathrm{U. S. D}}$	ISTRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Adler CLERK US DISTRICT COURT			
FROM: R. Mullin, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 8/11/2008 CALIFORNIA					
CAS	E NO.: <u>08</u>	Scv0485 BTM (JMA) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Petitioner Petitioner			
CASE TITLE: Dunn v. Clark					
DOC	CUMENT EN	TITLED: Petitioner's Motion for the Appointment of Counsel			
	Upon th	e submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:			
√ 1	Local Rule	Discrepancy			
X	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation			
	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper			
	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.			
	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely			
\mathbf{X}	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document			
	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions			
	7.1	Missing table of contents			
	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself			
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order			
		Supplemental documents require court order			
		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest			
		OTHER:			
		Date forwarded: <u>8/12/2008</u>			
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:					
	The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.				
	The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.				
	Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers				
	Counsel is to Local Ru	advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant alle 83.1 CHAMBERS OF: VMA			
	Dated:cc: All Part	13/08 ies By: HAH			